

Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion?- NO "LEAD"!

More like "lead" as in the poisonous metal...

"Lead is a highly poisonous metal when inhaled or swallowed and long-term exposure can be harmful..." Yup, that's what this program is...

WHY ON EARTH WOULD SEX WORKERS SUPPORT A PROGRAM THAT ENCOURAGES COPS TO INTERACT WITH PROSTITUTES - WITH ABSOLUTELY NO ACCOUNTABILITY? THE RECENT OAKLAND (CA) POLICE SCANDAL INVOLVING A MINOR AND OTHER SEX WORKERS SHOWS THAT COPS OFTEN PREY UPON THE VERY PEOPLE THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO 'PROTECT.'
RAPISTS WITH BADGES SHOULD NEVER BE GIVEN SUCH UNRESTRAINED ACCESS TO VULNERABLE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY!

TOP 10 REASONS SEX WORKERS OPPOSE LEAD AND CA SB 1110

- 1) LEAD Encourages police to have more interactions with sex workers but provides for NO police accountability during or after those interactions. Police can- AND DO- engage in sex acts with sex workers and then arrest them for prostitution, seize their earnings and refer them to LEAD
- 2) LEAD relies on the police to threaten to *arrest sex workers* so their case managers have clients... what else would you call "pre-booking" interaction?
- 3) LEAD admits they have no control over what the police do or how they do it
- 4) LEAD ignores the ability of the police to use the laws to rape, rob and exploit sex workers with impunity
- 5) LEAD refuses to publicly support the decriminalization of consensual adult prostitution
- 6) LEAD does not acknowledge sex work as a valid occupation
- 7) The majority of LEAD's funding goes to pay case managers' salaries
- 8) LEAD does not provide services; Instead they simply refer clients to the same limited services that anyone can access by dialing 211
- 9) LEAD profits from the continued criminalization of consensual adult commercial sex
- 10) LEAD has no plan in place to hold anyone responsible for the collateral damage that will come from "law enforcement assisted diversion" programs

Join us in the fight to expand sexual privacy rights

ESPLER v. GASCON <https://www.tilt.com/tilts/support-historic-lawsuit-esplerp-v-gascon>

IF SO CALLED DIVERSION PROGRAMS ARE A 'VIABLE SOLUTION' TO 'HELPING' VICTIMS OF 'SEX TRAFFICKING', WHY NOT APPLY THESE PROGRAMS TO ALL INSTANCES OF SUSPECTED HUMAN TRAFFICKING AND NOT JUST "SUSPECTED SEX TRAFFICKING"?

BECAUSE WHO WOULD THINK IT APPROPRIATE TO SEND COPS TO HOTELS, MOTELS, RESTAURANTS AND FARMS- ARREST THE WORKERS WHO *MIGHT* BE VICTIMS, SEIZE THEIR EARNINGS AND THREATEN THEM WITH INCARCERATION IF THEY DON'T ACCEPT THE 'HELP' THAT IS OFFERED TO THEM?